

Recession—A Bolshevik Viewpoint

Zihannasheen

Who has devastated all these lives and families: without any bombardment, without the new world war?... Neither al-Qaeda, nor all the Muslim fundamentalists, nor all terrorists of the world combined together could ever have managed doing so.

Recession, the omnipotent ravenous cannibal monster beast child of capitalism, is growing to its maturity and power. Millions of able-bodied and normal minded people have been rendered redundant for life through their being rendered redundant for work—though infinite work remains undone in the world. The ships of their lives, which they were sailing in, have been wrecked single-handedly by the cannibal child of capitalism: Recession. Tens of millions of more people have been added to the more that one billion individuals of the world that face torture, torment, desperation and the end of their personal usefulness to life and to “human” or ultra-savage society. Thousands have committed suicide.

Anybody, who is not yet disgusted with the overall atmosphere of the world and the society he or she is living in, must either be an imbecile or scoundrel.

“The recession will end”, the lovers of capitalism assure the public—but none of them has even the slightest decency or courage to say that the terrorist attacks on twin towers and Pentagon are just a petty fraction and completely negligible in comparison to the devastation caused by the ocean and sky of terrorism of this ravenous monster child of capitalism: Recession.

If this Recession goes to sleep—the possibility of which is bleak; NOTE IT!—after eating its bellyful meal through devastation, starvation, desperation and deaths all over the world, HE will wake up again, pretty soon. The prospects under capitalism are the flourishing of its omnipotent beast child, Recession, wars, robbery, hunger, starvation, unemployment, frustration, slavery, desperation...massacre, etc., till either capitalism is put to death or humanity meets its ultimate extinction on every plane.

There is no third choice under capitalism.

Recession, the natural child of capitalism:

To understand what is going on, we need to grasp the dominant phenomenon of Wage Labor and Capital:

“A worker’s life activity is for him only a means to enable him to exist. He works in order to live. He does not even reckon labor as a part of his life; it is rather a sacrifice of his life. It is a commodity which he has made over to another. Hence, also, the product of his activity is not the object of his activity. What he produces for himself is not the silk that he weaves, not the gold that he draws from the mine, not the palace that he builds. What he produces for himself is wages...Labor-power was

not always a commodity (merchandise). Labor was not always wage-labor, i.e., free labor. The slave did not sell his labor-power to the slave-owner, any more than the ox sells his services to the farmer. The slave, together with his labor-power, is sold once and for all to his owner... He is a commodity that can pass from the hand of one owner to that of another. He himself is a commodity, but his labor-power is not his commodity. The serf sells only a portion of his labor-power. He does not receive wages from the owner of the land; rather the owner of the land receives a tribute from him.

“The serf belongs to the land and renders to the owner of the land the fruits thereof. The free laborer, on the other hand, sells himself and, indeed sells himself piecemeal. He auctions off eight, ten, twelve, fifteen hours of his life, day after day, to the highest bidder, to the owner of raw materials, instruments of labor [tools, machines, factories, industries] and the means of existence [edibles, industrial products, houses, hospitals, schools], that is, to the capitalist. The worker belongs neither to an owner nor to the land, but eight, ten, twelve, fifteen hours of his daily life belong to him who buys them... He belongs not to this or that capitalist, but to the capitalist class, and, moreover, it is his business to dispose off himself, that is, to find a purchaser within this capitalist class.

“Industry leads two great armies into the field against each other, each of which again carries a battle within its own ranks, among its own troops....

“If the price of a commodity rises considerably because of inadequate or disproportionate increase of the demand, the price of some other commodity must have fallen proportionately...what will be the consequence of the rising price of a particular commodity? A mass of capital will be thrown into that flourishing branch of industry, and this influx of capital...will continue until it yields the ordinary profits, or, rather, until the price of its products, through overproduction, sinks below the cost of production.

“Conversely, if the price of a commodity falls below its cost of production, capital will be withdrawn from the production of this commodity...the current price of a commodity is always either above or below its cost of production...but the rise and fall reciprocally balance each other...., ***it is solely these fluctuations, which,...bring with them the most fearful devastations, and like earth quakes, cause bourgeoisie society to tremble to its foundations. The total movement of this disorder is its order. In the course of this industrial anarchy, in this movement in a circle, competition compensates, so to speak, for one excess by means of another.***

“...in calculating his cost of production for his product, the manufacturer takes into account the wear and tear of the instruments of labor [machines, etc.]. If a machine, for example, costs him 1,000 marks and wears out in 10 years, he adds 100 marks annually to the price of the commodities so as to be able to replace the worn-out machine with a new one at the end of ten years. In the same way, in calculating the cost of production of simple labor-power, there must be included the cost of production, whereby the race of workers is enabled to multiply and to replace worn-out workers with new ones. Thus the depreciation of the worker is taken into account in the same way as the depreciation of the machine....

“The price of this cost of existence and propagation constitutes wages. The wages so determined are called the *wage minimum*. The *wage minimum*, like the determination of the price of commodities by the cost of production in general, does not hold good for a *single individual*, but for the species. Individual workers, millions of workers, do not get enough to be able to exist and reproduce themselves; but *the wages of the whole working class* level down within their fluctuations to the minimum....

“Capital consists of raw materials, instruments of labor [machines, etc.], and means of subsistence of all kinds [all consumer goods, schools, hospitals, houses, etc.] which are utilized in order to produce new raw materials, new instruments of labor, and new means of subsistence. All these components parts of capital are creations of labor, products of labor, accumulated labor. Accumulated labor which serves as a means of new production is capital....

“A Negro is a Negro. He becomes a slave only in certain relations. A cotton-spinning jenny is a machine for spinning cotton. It becomes capital only in certain relations. Torn from these relationships it is no more capital as gold in itself is *money*, or sugar the price of sugar.

“In production, men not only act on nature, but also on one another. They produce only by cooperating in a certain way and mutually exchanging their activities. In order to produce, they enter into definite connections and relations with one another, and only within these social connections and relations does their action on nature, does production, take place.

“These social relations into which the producers enter with one another, the conditions under which they exchange their activities and participate in the whole act of production, will naturally vary according to the character of the means of production. With the invention of a new instrument of warfare, the firearm, the whole internal organization of the army necessarily changed; the relations within which individuals can constitute an army and act as an army were transformed, and the relations of different armies to one another also changed.

“Thus the social relations within which individuals produce, the social relations of production, change, are transformed, with the change and development of the material means of production, the productive forces. The relations of production in their totality constitute what are called the social relations, society, and, specifically, a society at a definite stage of historical development, a society with peculiar distinctive characteristics. Ancient society, feudal society, bourgeois (or capitalist) society, are such totalities of production relations, each of which at the same time denotes a particular stage of development in the history of humankind.

“Capital also is a social relation of production. It is a bourgeois production relation, a production relation of bourgeois society...

“How...does any amount of commodities, of exchange values, become capital?”

“By maintaining and multiplying itself as an independent social power, that is, as a power of a portion of society, by means of its exchange with direct, living labor-

power. The existence of a class which possess nothing but the capacity to labor is a necessary prerequisite of capital.

“It is only the dominion of past, accumulated, materialized labor over direct, living labor that turns accumulated labor into capital...Capital does not consist in accumulated labor serving living labor as a means for new production. It consists in living labor serving accumulated labor as a means for maintaining and multiplying the exchange value of the latter...”

“The worker receives means of subsistence in exchange for his labor-power... For immediate consumption. As soon, however, as I consume the means of subsistence, they are irretrievably lost to me, unless I employ the time during which I am kept alive by them in order to produce new means of subsistence, in order during consumption to create by my labor new values in place of the values which perish in being consumed. But it is just this noble reproductive power that the worker surrenders to the capitalist in exchange for means of subsistence received. He has, therefore, lost it for himself...[As far the capitalist] *the capitalist receives in exchange for his means of subsistence, the productive activity of the worker, the creative power whereby the worker not only replaces what he consumes but gives to the accumulated labor a greater value that it previously possessed.*

“Capital presupposes wage-labor; wage-labor presupposes capital. They reciprocally condition each other; they reciprocally bring forth each other...”

“Capital can only increase by exchanging itself for labor-power, by calling wage-labor to life. The labor-power of the wage-worker can only be exchanged for capital by increasing capital, by strengthening the power whose slave it is... Increase of capital, therefore, is increase of the proletariat, i.e., of the working class...The worker perishes if capital does not employ him... capital and wage-labor are two sides of the same relation. The one conditions the other, just as the usurer and the borrower condition each other....”

“A house may be large or small; as long as the neighboring houses are equally small, it satisfies all social demands for a dwelling. But let a palace arise beside the little house, and it shrinks from a little house to a hut. [The natural light from sun, stars, etc., the sky and the panorama that can be viewed from the house also diminish considerably]...however high it may shoot up in the course of civilization, if the neighboring palace grows to an equal or even greater extent, the occupant of the relatively small house will feel more and more uncomfortable, dissatisfied and cramped within its four walls...”

“A noticeable increase in wages presupposes a rapid growth of productive capital. The rapid growth of productive capital brings about an equally rapid growth of wealth, luxury, social wants and social enjoyments. Thus, although the enjoyment of the worker has risen, the social satisfaction that they give has fallen in comparison with the increased enjoyments of the capitalist, which are inaccessible to the worker, in comparison with the state of development of society in general....”

“If the income of the worker increased with the rapid growth of capital, the social gulf that separates the worker from the capitalist increases at the same time, and the

power of capital over labor, the dependence of labor on capital, likewise increases at the same time...the more rapidly the worker increases the wealth of others, the richer will be the crumbs that fall to him, the greater is the number of workers that can be employed and called into existence, the more can the mass of slaves dependent upon capital be increased....If capital grows rapidly, wages may rise; but the profit of capital rises incomparably more rapidly. The material position of the worker has improved, but at the cost of his social position...

The numerical increase of capitals increases the competition between the capitalists. The increasing extent of the capitals provides the means of bringing more powerful labor armies with more gigantic instruments of war into the industrial battlefield

"One capitalist can drive another from the field and capture his capital only by selling more cheaply. In order to be able to sell more cheaply without ruining himself, he must produce more cheaply, that is, raise the productive power of labor as much as possible. But the productive power of labor is raised, above all, by a more universal introduction and continual improvement of machinery. The greater the labor army among whom the labor is divided, the more gigantic the scale on which machinery is introduced, the more does the cost of production proportionately decrease, the more fruitful is the labor. Hence, a general rivalry among the capitalists to increase the division of labor and machinery and to exploit them on the greatest possible scale.

"If, now,... by the utilization of new machines and by their improvement...one capitalist has found the means of producing with the same amount of labor or of accumulated labor [machines, etc.] a greater amount of products, of commodities, than his competitors.... the privileged position of our capitalist is not of a long duration; other competing capitalists introduce the same machines...

"The capitalists find themselves, therefore, in the same position relative to one another as before the introduction of new means of production.... That is the law which again and again throws capitalist production out of its course and which compels capital to strain the productive forces of labor, because it has strained them, the law which gives capital no rest and continually whispers in its ear: Go on! Go on!...the old struggle begins again all the more violently the more fruitful the already discovered means of production are...

"We have portrayed above, in a hasty sketch, the industrial war of the capitalists among themselves. This war has the peculiarity that its battles are won less by recruiting than by discharging the army of workers...the forest of uplifted arms demanding work becomes ever thicker, while the arms themselves become ever thinner....

"Finally, the capitalists are compelled, by the movement described above, to exploit the already existing gigantic means of production on a larger scale and to set in motion all the mainsprings of credit to this end, **there is a corresponding increase in the industrial earthquakes, in which the trading world can only maintain itself by sacrificing a part of wealth, of products, and of productive forces to the gods of the nether world—in a word, crises increase. They become more frequent and more violent, if only because, as the mass of products, and consequently the need for extended markets grows, the world market**

becomes more and more contracted, fewer and fewer markets remain available for exploitation, since every previous crisis has subjected to the world trade a market thitherto unconquered or only superficially exploited.

“But capital does not *live* only on labor. A lord, at once aristocratic and barbarous, it drags with it into its grave the corpses of its slaves, whole hecatombs of workers, who perish in the crises.”

Thus was the birth, growth, power and work of capitalism and its omnipotent ravenous cannibal monster beast child, Recession, explained in 1847, that is, one hundred sixty years ago, by that universally abused man, abused millions of times by millions of scoundrels, imbeciles and rabid, petty self-interest-bundles, that love and propagate every type of idiocy with all the power they are capable of, thereby proving for historical analysis that life has committed a grave blunder in giving them birth as biped beasts.

Again in 1848, the author of the above analysis and his bosom friend [a friendship whose equal can nowhere else be found in history, and which can only be seen in legends; a friendship that no scoundrel, idiot, imbecile, or beast of a man, or paid pimp of the brothel of capitalism can understand—though he be lauded and commissioned by any bourgeoisie media-house to compile a biography of one or both the friends he loathes so rabidly as to come whining to bite humanity with his rot about Karl Marx:

“a tremendous show-off and a sadistic intellectual thug’ who was constantly sponging money off his good friend Friedrich Engels in order to obtain the luxuries of the bourgeois class he despised.”

Surely this imbecile of a biographer can never understand even the elementary lessons of even the elementary human life. Obviously, he has yet to know that Moses risked his life itself and faced a mountain of hardships for human welfare; Buddha renounced all the riches and comforts of his world; Socrates took poison; Christ got crucified; many Christian saints didn’t care about the prospect of being burnt at stake; Bruno was burnt at stake; Saint Valentine was put to death; Sir Thomas More was beheaded; many heroes of humanity kissed the noose that was to take their necks; many knew they would be sent to prison, tortured and even slain for the path they chose for themselves; and, more commonly, many lovers gave their lives for the glory of their love... The path of brave men is altogether different than that of cowards, and lovers of the rabid capitalist culture... The lives and cares of great men are altogether incomprehensible to imbeciles, scoundrels and wretched beggars’ sons... For Karl Marx and Frederick Engels, houses, industries, land, estates, etc., were nothing more than the air that all breathe, or shall have to equally breathe soon, if humanity has to survive. Excellent education, the right to the best culture and best cultural achievements, enjoyments, pleasures and comforts were nothing more than fresh water that is essential for everyone, and that everyone will certainly have right to in the future; money to them was the pettiest of the petty things that they did their best to slaughter pretty soon. They declared their mission in 1847 and 1848 itself, as we have already seen above and as we shall see further in the following pages... And

when this paid pimp of the brothel of capitalism writes a biographer of Karl Marx, and like a pathetic beggars' son groans and completely brazenly tries to drag the supreme men of the stature of Karl Marx and Frederick Engels into the petty consideration of mine and thine in the pettiest thing, money [which they meant to slaughter as soon as possible, and devoted their lives to realize that], and the enjoyments and aesthetic and other pleasures they availed; and the best education they themselves had received and they wanted everyone including their children to have—he, like an ultra-pathetic rogue, insults not only Marx and Engels, but all the human beings in the gallery of the heroes of humanity so far: Moses, Buddha, Socrates, Plato (who devoted his life to make Socrates known), Christ, and the people that exhausted their lives and accepted all the hardships to spread the message of Christ, Muhammad, Abu Bakr (who kept all his worldly possessions as well as life at the disposal of Islamic campaign), Ali, Umar, and other uncountable saints and secular martyrs that laid their very lives—and what are a few or a heap of currency notes in comparison to one's life!—for social human freedom.... Even soldiers that join armies of the world and take risks on their lives in order to save their fellows are gravely insulted by this monster of a capitalist vampire. The beggars' child must have been born motherless or been abandoned by his mother; for how can anyone suckled and looked after by his mother disgrace motherhood [friendship, brotherhood, sisterhood, etc.] and all the highest human values otherwise. Else his mother must have been a wretched modern feminist of the type that demand and set a price for motherhood, thereby disgracing all humanity and placing themselves at far lower than all the other animals in the world—for a lioness, a cow, a buffalo, a cat, a bitch, a goat, a rat and all other females reproduce and bring up their young ones without caring a hoot for the rewards they might receive by doing so; and, speaking from the point of view of nature and life, they are far more honorable than those feminists that fight for getting a few coins for their travails and child-rearing. The petty biped beast has also insulted Mother Teresa, SOS, and many other genuine international donors, selfless individuals and groups, that curtail their personal expenses to serve many people worldwide with the aim of producing human welfare in the world... Hark you, O beast of the rabid capitalist culture, "Siddhartha didn't care a straw for the riches and comforts he had, because searching the meaning of life was far more important to him. Frederick Engels pissed upon the ownership of the industries, business and money in 1844 itself, and that is why he is an immortal man. Muhammad and Abu Bakr, the illiterate men but far superior to you in terms of higher human culture, cared not even for their lives in even a very backward Arabia fourteen hundred years ago, and that is why they are honorable men.

The ways and cares of the supreme human beings that humanity has produced so far can never be understood by a donkey like you; though you may be laden with gold. You don't have even a toddler's capability to understand Moses, or Confucius, or Buddha, or Socrates, or Plato, or Spartacus, or Christ, or Christian Saints, or Thomas More, or Fourier, or Robert Owen, or Marx, or Engels, or Lenin, or any ordinary Revolutionary, or even a normal lover. You are merely a petty beast of the rabid culture of capitalism [or just an imbecile child of the lifeless spiritual desert]. And you verily are describing none else but your own self when you write: "a tremendous show-off and a sadistic intellectual thug,' who was constantly sponging money off his good friends in order to obtain the 'luxuries' of the bourgeois class". Besides this, any man deriving pleasure and charming the idiots of rotten capitalist

culture in order to make money through describing the painful carbuncles, insomnia, a liver ailment, migraines, and respiratory problems of Karl Marx, has certainly the complete ignorance of a lifeless object about the problems of pregnancy and travails of his own mother and all other mothers. For Marx's or anybody else's painful carbuncles, insomnia, a liver ailment, migraines, and respiratory problems are nothing in comparison to the travails of any human being's mother. It is common knowledge that whosoever has been born in this world has had his or her own share of physical and psychological problems and pains. Moses had a defective speech and he faced too many problems in his life; in the course of his quest for truth, Buddha grew so emaciated that he fainted while passing urine, and people thought he had died; Socrates' wife was cantankerous; Christ faced his own share of tortures and horrible pains; Muhammad was born posthumously; Newton didn't have a wife; Rousseau's mother died soon after giving him birth; G. B. Shah had an unattractive countenance... Great men aren't cowed down by their problems; they overcome them. And if any sadist psychopath derives pleasure from the painful carbuncles, insomnia, a liver ailment, migraines, and respiratory problems of Karl Marx, in order to earn money from that description, he better draw a higher pleasure through describing the far more painful travails of his own mother, wife and daughter; and besides earning money through that description, he better serve his stomach with the placenta of his wife and others; for in the annals of higher humanity, he is merely a vulture. As far the world's people are concerned, every lady, every gentleman and every child of humanity knows pretty well that the problems and pains faced by billions of people living in the current world are far more horrible and tormenting than the carbuncles, insomnia, a liver ailment, migraines, and respiratory problems of Karl Marx, who passed away in 1883—as a small example, the pains and troubles of tens of thousands of young US soldiers recently crippled for life....]

We have digressed from the topic, Recession; but this digression has been made necessary, because as soon as we start to speak about Galileo's or any other person's contributions, discoveries and inventions; idiots, imbeciles and scoundrels join together and divert the discussion to an irrelevant direction. "Galileo was a thoroughly wicked man", "Prince Siddhartha was the manifestation of perfect stupidity because instead of becoming the King of a kingdom, he became a mendicant", "Karl Marx was a tremendous show-off and a sadistic intellectual thug", "Frederick Engels was a first class idiot for he didn't care to look after his father's industries; and he also not only enabled Karl Marx to live by providing him money, who would otherwise have died many years earlier, but also put his works to order and published them after Marx died. What an idiot!", "Lenin was simultaneously a CIA and German agent, he had illicit relations with Inessa Armand; and he died of syphilis", "Stalin was the greatest ever Marxist, and Stalinist State Capitalism was International Communism", "Bourgeoisie parties for robbing the working class and calling themselves Marxist or Marxist Leninist, are the actual Communist Parties", "Labor Party is the only Political Party for the welfare of workers"...."We ourselves are the perfect-most, cultured-most and best human beings ever produced. Never before and never henceforth shall anybody better than ourselves be born upon this earth! And, consequently, what we are speaking, or doing, or caring about—i.e. there no Allah but Mammon, the Money God; US President is his Messenger—and Bourgeoisie Rotten Democracy is the only Path to Him—is the best and the only truth of life."....

When you have rotten scoundrels doing their utmost to pollute, confound and pervert human understanding, faculties, better prospects and reason, it becomes necessary to classify them amongst the imbeciles and scoundrels, and send their minds, and the pollution, poison and perversion they create, lock, stock and barrel to the place fit for them, i.e. a dirty dustbin.

To our topic again.

Talking about capitalism and its omnipotent cannibal child, Karl Marx and Frederick Engels clarified in 1848 itself:

“Modern bourgeois society, with its relations of production, of exchange and of property, a society that has conjured up such gigantic means of production and of exchange, is like the sorcerer who is no longer able to control the powers of the nether world whom he has called up by his spells....It is enough to mention the commercial crises that by their periodical return put the existence of the entire bourgeois society on its trial, each time more threateningly. In these crises, a great part not only of the existing products, but also of the previously created productive forces, are periodically destroyed. In these crises, there breaks out an epidemic that, in all earlier epochs, would have seemed an absurdity—the epidemic of over-production. Society suddenly finds itself put back into a state of momentary barbarism; it appears as if a famine, a universal war of devastation, had cut off the supply of every means of subsistence; industry and commerce seem to be destroyed; and why? Because there is too much civilization, too much means of subsistence, too much industry, too much commerce. The productive forces at the disposal of society no longer tend to further the development of the conditions of bourgeois property; on the contrary, they have become too powerful for these conditions, by which they are fettered, and so soon as they overcome these fetters, they bring disorder into the whole of bourgeois society, endanger the existence of bourgeois property. The conditions of bourgeois society are too narrow to comprise the wealth created by them. And how does the bourgeoisie get over these crises? On the one hand by enforced destruction of a mass of productive forces; on the other, by the conquest of new markets, and by the more thorough exploitation of the old ones. That is to say, by paving the way for more extensive and more destructive crises, and by diminishing the means whereby crises are prevented.”

Thus was the phenomenon discerned and described by those two supreme friends of humanity that were the greatest torch-bearers of ultimate human freedom.

And Marx and Engels were fully conscious about the rabid capitalist culture which rotten dogs of capitalism were propagating:

“The bourgeoisie...has left remaining no other nexus between man and man than naked self-interest, than callous ‘cash payment’...It has resolved personal worth into exchange value, and in place of the numberless indefeasible chartered freedoms, has set up that single, unconscionable freedom—Free Trade. In one word, for

exploitation, veiled by religious and political illusions, it has substituted naked, shameless, direct, brutal exploitation.

“The bourgeoisie has stripped of its halo every occupation hitherto honored and looked up to with reverent awe. It has converted the physician, the lawyer, the priest, the poet, the man of science, into its paid wage laborers.

“The bourgeoisie has torn away from the family its sentimental veil, and has reduced the family relation to a mere money relation.”

....

The results are obvious for all except the scoundrels, imbeciles and rabid, petty self-interest-bundles, that love and propagate every type of idiocy with all the power they are capable of, thereby proving for historical analysis that life has committed a grave blunder in giving them birth as biped beasts.

When History went Mad!

[Written in 1998, in the circumstances of economic boom, for the author's work "Tenzin Tseten, the Trail and the Trait of the Time"]

History doesn't make itself miserable, but people drive it crazy sometimes. And often, at the end of each historical period, hysteria is enforced upon history—often with good intentions: that the insomniac times receive some sleep. No doubt the intentions fail.

How and why does it happen?

It happens because history is a female-monkey, which out of love or out of rape acquires her interesting condition and then marches towards the travails. It is the sad abortion, it is the premature delivery, it is a child born dead, it is a child born unfit, or at last it is a healthy child. Whatever be the case, travails are the same. The mother turns into a sick and struggling lump of flesh, all blood, all pain, more dead than alive... and at last there is the result for everyone to see. Monkey loves her fetus, she loves her premature born too, she loves the dead born too, she loves the unfit born also—and above all the rest, nothing pleases her more, nothing gives her more life than the healthy-born one.

But the history needs midwives of necessity; and those midwives—Bhishmas, Karunas, Dronas, etc., the great warriors—have turned eunuchs. They are hostile to any new birth of civilization. They are licking the shoes of a blind ruler, Dharatrashtira, who has lost his head. The ruler wants to stop time. Einstein is there at his help. The blind man wants the status-quo in everything; his vanity-ridden beast of a son is there prodding him forever. Our midwives of history, the great warriors turned eunuchs, applaud for all their worth the beast of the son when he denudes their daughters and daughters-in-law, right upon their noses...

Eunuchs at the court turn history crazy at times.

And there always has been an unprecedented race of people towards the court when the history has been enforced craziness upon.

This condition lasts for a while.

Life gradually gathers her forces.

Eunuchs at the court are produced and paraded to the battlefield for slaughter.

They repay their debts.

One by one they fall to the dust.
One by one they repent for shame.
They have lost their precious lives.
Their lives will never be given to them again.
They have wasted their lives for trifles....

These were the rules at the time of barbarism—for the Hindi epic, Mahabhart, from which this summary has been taken, was written long ago during the epoch of barbarism—these are the rules now. Charming, isn't it?

Weep! If you have tears. Weep! you good people. Wail! you educated people. You are nothing more than barbarians proved.

"We were taken unawares, Sir!"

"It is not enough to say, as the *Westerners* do, that their *continent* was taken unawares. A nation and a woman are not forgiven the unguarded hour in which the first adventurer that came along could violate them. The riddle is not solved by such turns of speech, but merely formulated differently. It remains to be explained *how a world of hundreds of millions of people could be surprised and delivered unresisting into dungeon and genocide by a few thousand swindlers...*

"In no period do we find a more confused mixture of high-flown phrases and actual uncertainty and clumsiness, of more enthusiastic striving for innovation and more deeply rooted domination of the old routine, of more apparent harmony of the whole society and more profound estrangement of its elements..."

You have been seeing it all for 150 years now:

"Alliances whose first proviso is separation; struggles whose first law is indecision; wild, inane agitation in the name of tranquility; most solemn preaching of tranquility in the name of revolution; passions without truth, truths without passion; heroes without heroic deeds, *history with catastrophes*; development whose sole driving force seems to be the calendar, wearying with constant repetition of the same tensions and relaxations; antagonisms that periodically seem to work themselves up to a climax only to lose their sharpness and fall away without being able to resolve themselves; pretentiously paraded exertions and philistine terror at the danger of world coming to an end, and at the same time the pettiest intrigues and court comedies played by the world redeemers... the official collective genius of the *world* brought to a naught... If any section of history has been painted grey on grey, it is this. Men and events appear as inverted Schlemihls, as shadows that have lost their bodies."

"But we truly were taken unawares, Sir!"

Do I need to recall to you the proverb: *A person taken unawares in twice a fool?*

You were taken unawares two times! Which is a lie. And what have you done to stop yourselves from being taken unawares a third time? Can't you be taken unawares tomorrow again? Hasn't it already started in fact? Isn't it shining dimly at present?

How stupid you are!... Here is the proof that you were not taken unawares. Here is what was written in London on December 15, 1887, that is 27 years before the start of the actual war (when imbeciles, scoundrels and dogs of capitalism could never understand what was happening), by one of the two greatest heroes of humanity during the nineteenth century, Frederick Engels:

"... No war is possible any longer for Prussia-Germany except a world war and world war indeed of an extent and violence hitherto undreamed of. Eight to ten million soldiers will massacre one another and in doing so devour the whole Europe until they have stripped it barer than any swarm of locusts has ever done. The

devastation of thirty years' war compressed into three or four years, and spread over the whole continent; famine, pestilence, general demoralization both of the armies and the mass of people produced by acute distress; hopeless confusion of our artificial machinery in trade, industry and credit, ending in general bankruptcy; collapse of the old states and their traditional state wisdom to such an extent that crowns will roll by dozens on the pavement and there will be nobody to pick them up; absolute impossibility of foreseeing how it will all end and who will come out of the struggle as victor..

"This is the prospect when the system of mutual outbidding in armaments, taken to the final extreme, at last bears its inevitable fruit. This, my lords, princes, and statesmen, is where in your wisdom you have brought old Europe..."

You proved yourselves deaf and blind. You were not unaware but deaf and blind, like Dhratrashttra and his henchmen.

What is more, you allowed your Dhratrashttras and their henchmen to outdo the Gentleman's foreboding. They didn't mobilize *eight to ten million soldiers* only for the slaughter, they mobilized sixty-five million able-bodied, young people to massacre one another. They murdered eight and a half million of them. They wounded twenty-one million more.

Indeed Lenin deserves your abuses. Indeed Rosa Luxemburg was worth to be lynched. Because they vehemently opposed your masters and their war. Because they forced your masters to stop their ongoing business of worldwide butchery they called the First World War...

Life despises you because you debase yourselves.

Truth abhors you because you love slavery and lies.

Weep! If you've tears. Weep! you *good* people! Wail for the orphans of the wars! Wail for the young widows! Lament for the parents whose young children were slaughtered or crippled for their lives! Lament for the wounded! Mourn for a full century of history! Mourn for the lifelong wretchedness and brutality you brought upon four generations of the century! Weep for your own timid and cunning lives and those of your children's!....

You've had enough of capitalistic scoundrels' idiotic celebrations!

You've had enough of duping by the glitter! They have massacred much of your soul. They have real yourselves underfeet trampled....

It is time to ask yourselves: "What am I born for? Does my life have no significance, and no meaning? Am I indeed only an animal? Is life indeed so petty? Can't life be precious—or is it indeed precious? Is there no prospect that I can be a really decent and useful human being? What is the future of my children? What is my duty to life?..."

Or else you are doomed to worship the detestable and the dirtiest scoundrels forever.

It is time to understand that if you want to fight a nonsense, reactionary war just for imposing your "*superiority*", or national bourgeoisie or feudal or warlord or beastly might, or justification of your right to depravity, inhumanity and plunder, for the problems and pleasures of Kings—in their ancient or modern costumes—or for their vanities, or for their honor: You will get admirals, generals, soldiers, media-personnel, states-personnel, and everybody easily organized for the manslaughter; but if you want to wage a revolutionary struggle for humanity's welfare and better future, majority will run away with their dropped tails in-between their haunches. This

is because the Heroic Path is meant only for the healthy; it is terribly strenuous—mock-heroic is easy. People generally choose mock-heroism to lead themselves to doom. They waste their lives; they mangle humankind—all for their sickness; for their timidity; for their lack of confidence in themselves, their lives, as well as others. They intend never to make their lives meaningful. They are *'Easy come, easy go!'* But the most tragic phenomenon is that these very mock-heroes, these media-personnel, these cowards, these scoundrels and butchers become your Gods, and you prostrate before them. They themselves never get tired in giving tall sermons about social justice, human dignity, the excellence in human beings, progress, and so forth while actually serving the contrary. But even with all their manifest ills they must be excused for their ignorance, and for their mental-sickness and vanity—just because they are beasts, not men.

Here is an example:

Reelected as President in 1916 as “the man who kept us out of war”, Woodrow Wilson got the support of Congress on the April 2, 1917 for declaration of war against Germany. His rhetoric was: “... we shall fight for the things which we have always carried nearest to our hearts—for democracy... for the rights and liberties of small nations, for a universal dominion of right by such a concert of free peoples as shall bring peace and safety to all nations and make the world itself at last free.”

“for democracy” hands were joined with the British bosses that had George V as the King, and where women had been denied the voting-right up to the time. “for democracy” hands would have been joined with the tsar himself had he not been overthrown before the time. “for democracy” all aid was later to be meant for the tsarist white-guards... And indeed the war was fought “for democracy”—because Mussolini, Hitler, General Primo de Rivera, King Alexander of Yugoslavia, and a wide range of other people were indeed the heroes “for democracy” that came to power. Indeed it is “for democracy” that the Sultans and dictators, etc., have been receiving the special favors of US bosses right up to the present.

“rights and liberties of small nations!”, “peace and safety to all nations!”, “world itself at last free!”—if Wilson was not totally mad or a first class rogue, he certainly served to be a pure manifestation of a donkey of fantasy. Or else you can as well call him the lord and president of nonsense, who was conferred Nobel Prize for his remarkable contribution to nonsense.

As for the rest, the terms of the *Treaty of Versailles* in all their monstrous savagery show the full face of the capitalist world and the rotten heap of lies that their world’s statesmen pass as truth. The treaty speaks every thing clearly.

As for the German aims of the war, the Brest Litovsk Treaty clarifies them.

Take another example:

The Atlantic Charter (1941) of Roosevelt and Churchill proclaimed among other things need for the projects for a peace settlement which would “afford assurance that all the men in all the lands may live out their lives in freedom from fear and want.”

“All the men is all the lands!” “Live out their lives” “in freedom from fear and want!”

What can one say in this regard?...

And these very people, or rotten liars, and their henchmen and slaves, tell you: Marxism has failed! Communism has been rejected! Lenin was a wicked dictator! And so forth. If so, ask them: Who has won? What has won? Are the winners: Engendered water-scarcity and the prospect of wars between various countries and

within each country for water; water and air pollution; famines; wars; pestilence; deaths by starvation; cannibalism; rule and hegemony of scoundrels; headlessness; worldwide frustration; forlornness of everybody; extinction of love; extinction of friendship; extinction of integrity; extinction of character; disconcert to everything; humanity's falling down to the status of worst of all brutes; poisoning of brooks, streams, rivers, lakes, seas, oceans, land, air, vegetables, fruits, food grains, edible things, global warming, and the prospect of impending extinction of many species including the human beings in the most miserable manner, and so forth? If Marxism has been defeated, and communism rejected; then these winners must be acknowledged and accepted. If the "defeated" and the "rejected" are defeated and rejected forever, and adjudged worthy of defeat and rejection; then the winners must be accepted and appreciated, and they must be adjudged worthy of acceptance and appreciation. In other words, we shall have to acknowledge that every person of the species we belong to is worthy of his or her death for want of a glass of pure water or for a puff of fresh air; suffocation has won; fresh air and clean water have been defeated and rejected forever.

The world is so wretched, tense, frustration-ridden, full of chaos and genocides... just for the pleasure of a million odd masters—but side by side, it is sheer ignorance and impotence to merely condemn the bourgeoisie for their doings, and feel contented with that "heroic" condemnation. It is a great naiveté to describe the bourgeoisie as the evil sinners and curse a Hitler or Mussolini or Stalin or Churchill or Roosevelt or Truman to hell. It is criminal to have any honeymoons with the notions of any possibility of improvement, relief and cure under the Capitalist system. The bourgeoisie do whatever they must. Insomnia, loss of mind, cantankerous disposition, perversion, failure of kidneys, etc., are the necessary blessing of an overripe organism rotting for its death. But, to make its death a reality, there have to be those elements that can ensure her demise... Capitalism suffered her paralytic attack in 1914; she had a fatal cardiac problem from 1926 to 1932—she almost died in 1929—but they transplanted a pig's heart into her body; in 1939 she declared herself mad; thereafter she became so obese and asthmatic that anything could happen at any time. She can't walk. The flesh of her cheeks is so loose that it hangs right up to her chest. Her eyes barefaced are dreadful and damaged. She is completely blind. Her earlobes have fallen away. She is deaf. Her tongue, however, is sharp and she always keeps brawling at Karl Marx, Frederick Engels and all those people that plead for bestowing her mercy-killing. The ugly, enormous lump of flesh, her neck displays deeply carved maps of every lunatic city, every devastated hill, every dirty dale, and every filthy drain of the world. Her milk-bags are double her height. They sweep the dust and get bruised in the process when she hops. The temperature of her different body-parts is displayed appropriately by the perfect lunacy exhibited through her share-market dens...

But still the eagle—world-humanity—is like a toy in her hands.

However:

These days *will* end. Time *will* change!

Don't win disgrace before the eyes of the new generations any more.

Humanity is battered, her eyes are swelled; her visionless child needs healthy eyes and the sight of things worth seeing!

She appeals you! She appeals your soul! She appeals the portion of the human being that still survives in you! She appeals your valor! She appeals your courage! She appeals your potential!...

Don't disappoint her!

Don't disgrace yourselves any more!

Don't despise yourselves!

Life will love you! You can be her heroes! All true honor of honest humanity shall greet you!

Shun your cowardice! Shun your impotence! Shun your selfishness! Shun your perversion! Shun your ignorance! Life isn't meant for perversion! Life isn't meant for depravity! Life consists in a great discipline. There is no true honor, good prospect or perspective in the glorification of and immunization to contortions, distortions, lies... This ugly degradation and race towards utter downfall, everywhere, on screen, in art, in life that by and large express the era of abominable history post CE 1925 so far, holds no hope for humanity's future.

The culture and the conditions have degraded you, but you go on to celebrate your self-degradations. You should be ashamed of yourselves, and you—if you are human beings of nature—should think and devise ways for coming out of the deadly grip of the diseases that have taken hold over you.

Don't disgrace yourselves any more! Don't turn yourselves base any more!

Life shall never come to you again. You have this golden chance to utilize. Don't waste it! Don't act blind! Don't behave as deaf! You are not mere animals! Cure your sicknesses and vanities! Organize yourselves on the foundations of the global working class reality, perspective and prospect.

The World War First of the Rabid Heads:

[For want of a better source, I quote here, in quotation marks, the Encyclopaedia Britannica, that is the viewpoint of the winners in the War—my apologies to the losers for presenting this one sided view. It might be added that “Germany will pay” and “Squeeze the Germans until the pips squeak” were the celebrated slogans.]

Some Events:

British aircraft from Dunkirk bombed Cologne, Düsseldorf, and Friedrichshafen in the autumn of 1914, their main objective being the sheds of the German dirigible airships, or Zeppelins; and raids by German airplanes or seaplanes on English towns in December 1914 heralded a great Zeppelin offensive sustained with increasing intensity from January 1915 to September 1916 (London was first bombed in the night of May 31–June 1, 1915). In October 1916 the British, in turn, began a more systematic offensive, from eastern France, against industrial targets in southwestern Germany....On June 13, 1917, in daylight, 14 German bombers dropped 118 high explosive bombs on London and returned home safely....

The Armistice

The Allies' armistice terms presented in the railway carriage at Rethondes were stiff. Germany was required to evacuate not only Belgium, France, and Alsace-Lorraine but also all the rest of the left (west) bank of the Rhine, and it had to neutralize that river's right bank between The Netherlands and Switzerland. The German troops in East Africa were to surrender; the German armies in eastern Europe were to withdraw to the prewar German frontier; the treaties of Brest-Litovsk and Bucharest were to be annulled; and the Germans were to repatriate all prisoners of war and

hand over to the Allies a large quantity of war materials, including 5,000 pieces of artillery, 25,000 machine guns, 1,700 aircraft, 5,000 locomotives, and 150,000 railroad cars. And meanwhile, the Allies' blockade of Germany was to continue. The total sum of war reparations demanded from Germany — 226 billion Reich marks in gold (around £11.3 billion)— was decided by an Inter-Allied Reparations Commission. In 1921, it was reduced to 132 billion Reich marks (£4.99 billion) [A German author expressed the view that Germany would be finishing to pay off its World War I reparations until 2020]....At 5:00 AM on Nov. 11, 1918, the Armistice document was signed in Foch's railway carriage at Rethondes. At 11:00 AM on the same day, World War I came to an end....

Costs of the war

“The casualties suffered by the participants in World War I...some 8,500,000 soldiers died as a result of wounds and/or disease. The greatest number of casualties and wounds were inflicted by artillery, followed by small arms, and then by poison gas. ...The heaviest loss of life for a single day occurred on July 1, 1916, during the Battle of the Somme, when the British Army suffered 57,470 casualties....there is a French monument at Verdun to the 150,000 unlocated dead who are assumed to be buried in the vicinity.

“This kind of war made it difficult to prepare accurate casualty lists. Similar uncertainties exist about the number of civilian deaths attributable to the war.... It has been estimated that the number of civilian deaths attributable to the war was higher than the military casualties, or around **13,000,000. These civilian deaths were largely caused by starvation, exposure, disease, military encounters, and massacres.**”

The Crash of 1929:

Through the wholesale destruction of industries and agriculture in Europe during the First Rabid-Heads' World War, North America came to an advantageous position, because USA officially joined in the manslaughter only in 1917, and aircrafts those days were incapable of going across the Atlantic Ocean to bombard USA. North America exported agricultural and industrial goods to Europe besides exporting capital, by dint of which their trade and profits thrived. This, however, couldn't go on endlessly because by 1926, Europe had reconstructed its agriculture as well industries. A growth in agricultural production of Europe rendered the agricultural produce of North America superfluous to Europe's needs. The prices of agricultural produce began to fall sharply in North America. The farmers began to curtail their own expenditures and the sale of the industrial products also diminished. A natural panic ran through the spine of shareholders [the absolutely shrewd worshippers of mammon], and on 24th October alone 13 million shares were sold in the Wall Street [the greatest temple of mammon, where the temperature of the head of the witch named Capitalism is measured and kept the record of], and on 29th October, known by the bourgeoisie intellectuals and media-personnel as the “Black Thursday”, another 16.5 million shares were sold. Within a few days shareholders lost 40,000 million dollars. Despite the best efforts of the governments and banks, the doom of capital continued. North American farmers, Australian fruit and meat growers, Brazilian coffee producers, sugar planters of Indonesia, and every other producer of any export-commodity found the prices of their products going lower and further

lower. They had produced, and they had the potential to produce, in a great abundance; but the demand for their produce had fallen hopelessly. It ought to be borne in mind here that, side by side with this abundance, uncountable poor masses of Asia and Africa in particular and rest of the world in general stood starving, but they couldn't afford to buy anything. The prices of commodities went on going down in general and the crisis spread from one sector of economy to another. Commerce between nations shrank rapidly, bankruptcies occurred, factories slowed down production, many went out of business and many became bankrupt. Millions of workers were thrown out of work. This phenomenon saw further decline in demand. The spiral continued endlessly and, in the absence of a working class challenge to the system, stage got set for the Rabid Heads' Second World War, i.e. a wide-range of wholesale destruction and bloodbath to give capitalism room for her further survival for some more years.

The Rabid Heads' Second World War

[For want of a better source, I quote again, in quotation marks, the Encyclopaedia Britannica, that is the viewpoint of the winners in the War—my apologies to the losers for presenting this one sided view.]

Having slain the German Revolutionaries (including Rosa Luxemburg, Karl Leibneikth and... [uncounted] other working class revolutionaries), the path for the rise of Hitler was cleared. Army officials, landowners, big industrialists and financiers bestowed power to Hitler and his Nazis. Having defeated Lenin and Bolsheviks, and with Stalin and Stalinism fully in command of USSR (after having slain uncounted number of Bolsheviks including Trotsky), the stage was set for the Second World War, "a conflict that involved virtually every part of the world during the years 1939–45. The principal belligerents were the Axis powers—Germany, Italy, and Japan—and the Allies—France, Great Britain, the United States, the Soviet Union, and, to a lesser extent, China.

"...As the fortifications were pulverized, tanks and sledge-carried infantry advanced to occupy the ground while the Soviet Air Force broke up attempted Finnish counterattacks. After little more than a fortnight of this methodical process, a breach was made through the whole depth of the Mannerheim Line. Once the Soviets had forced a passage on the Karelian Isthmus, Finland's eventual collapse was certain. On March 6 Finland sued for peace, and a week later the Soviet terms were accepted: the Finns had to cede the entire Karelian Isthmus, Viipuri, and their part of the Rybachy Peninsula to the Soviets. The Finns had suffered about 70,000 casualties in the campaign, the Soviets more than 200,000.

“...Winston Churchill, first lord of the Admiralty, was arguing that mines should be laid in Norwegian waters to stop the export of Swedish iron ore from Gällivare to Germany through Norway's rail terminus and port of Narvik...

...The U-boats' main warfare, however, was against merchant shipping: they sank more than 110 vessels in the first four months of the war. Both the Germans and the British, meanwhile, were engaged in extensive mine laying.

“...The Armistice of June 22 divided France into two zones, one to be under German military occupation, one to be left to the French in full sovereignty. The occupied zone comprised all northern France from the northwestern frontier of Switzerland to the Channel and from the Belgian and German frontiers to the Atlantic, together with a strip extending from the lower Loire southward along the Atlantic coast to the western end of the Pyrenees; the unoccupied zone comprised only two-fifths of France's territory, the southeast. The French Navy and Air Force were to be neutralized, but it was not required that they be handed over to the Germans. The Italians granted very generous terms to the French: the only French territory that they claimed to occupy was the small frontier tract that their forces had succeeded in overrunning since June 20. Meanwhile, from June 18, General Charles de Gaulle, whom Reynaud had sent on a military mission to London on June 5, was broadcasting appeals for the continuance of France's war...

“The collapse of France in June 1940 posed a severe naval problem to the British, because the powerful French Navy still existed: strategically, it was of immense importance to the British that these French ships not fall into German hands, since they would have tilted the balance of sea power decidedly in favor of the Axis—the Italian Navy being now also at war with Britain. Mistrustful of promises that the French ships would be used only for ‘supervision and minesweeping,’ the British decided to immobilize them. Thus, on July 3, 1940, the British seized all French ships in British-controlled ports, encountering only nominal resistance. But when British ships appeared off Mers el-Kébir, near Oran on the Algerian coast, and demanded that the ships of the important French naval force there either join the Allies or sail out to sea, the French refused to submit, and the British eventually opened fire, damaging the battleship Dunkerque, destroying the Bretagne, and disabling several other vessels. Thereupon, Pétain's government, which on July 1 had installed itself at Vichy, on July 4 severed diplomatic relations with the British. In the eight following days, the constitution of France's Third Republic was abolished and a new French state created, under the supreme authority of Pétain himself. The few French colonies that rallied to General de Gaulle's Free French movement were strategically unimportant.

East Africa

“...Wavell, the success of whose North African strategy had been sacrificed to Churchill's recurrent fantasy of creating a Balkan front against Germany (Greece in 1941 was scarcely less disastrous for the British than the Dardanelles in 1915), nevertheless enjoyed one definitive triumph before Churchill, doubly chagrined at having lost Cyrenaica for Greece's sake and Greece for no advantage at all,

removed him, in the summer of 1941, from his command in the Middle East. That triumph was the destruction of Italian East Africa and the elimination, thereby, of any threat to the Suez Canal from the south or to Kenya from the north.

“In August 1940 Italian forces mounted a full-scale offensive and overran British Somaliland. Wavell, however, was already assured of the collaboration of the former Ethiopian emperor Haile Selassie in raising the Ethiopians in patriotic revolt against the Italians; and, whereas in June he had disposed only of meagre resources against the 200,000 men and 325 aircraft under the Duca d'Aosta, Amedeo di Savoia, his troops in the Sudan were reinforced by two Indian divisions before the end of the year. After Haile Selassie and a British major, Orde Wingate, with two battalions of Ethiopian exiles, had crossed the Sudanese frontier directly into Ethiopia, General William Platt and the Indian divisions invaded Eritrea on Jan. 19, 1941 (the Italians had already abandoned Kassala); and, almost simultaneously, British troops from Kenya, under General Alan Cunningham, advanced into Italian Somaliland.

“Platt's drive eastward into Eritrea was checked on February 5, at Keren, where the best Italian troops, under General Nicolangelo Carnimeo, put up a stiff defense facilitated by a barrier of cliffs. But when Keren fell on March 26, Platt's way to Asmara (Asmera), to Massawa (Mitsiwa), and then from Eritrea southward into Ethiopia was comparatively easy. Meanwhile, Cunningham's troops were advancing northward into Ethiopia; and on April 6 they entered the Ethiopian capital, Addis Ababa. Finally, the Duca d'Aosta was caught between Platt's column and Cunningham's; and at Amba Alaji, on May 20, he and the main body of his forces surrendered.

Iraq and Syria, 1940–41

“In 1940 Prince Abd al-Ilāh, regent of Iraq for King Fayṣal, had a government divided within itself about the war; he himself and his foreign minister, Nuri as-Said, were pro-British, but his prime minister, Rashid Ali al-Gailani, had pro-German leanings. Having resigned office in January 1941, Rashid Ali on April 3 seized power in Baghdad with help from some army officers and announced that the temporarily absent regent was deposed. The British, ostensibly exercising their right under the Anglo-Iraqi Treaty of 1930 to move troops across Iraqi territory, landed troops at Basra on April 19 and rejected Iraqi demands that these troops be sent on into Palestine before any further landings. Iraqi troops were then concentrated around the British air base at Ḥabbānīyah, west of Baghdad; and on May 2 the British commander there opened hostilities, lest the Iraqis should attack first. Having won the upper hand at Ḥabbānīyah and been reinforced from Palestine, the British troops from the air base marched on Baghdad; and on May 30 Rashid Ali and his friends took refuge in Iran. □Abd al-Ilāh was reinstated as regent; Nuri became prime minister; and the British military presence remained to uphold them.

“On Aug. 25, 1941, British and Soviet forces jointly invaded Iran, to forestall the establishment of a German base there and to divide the country into spheres of occupation for the duration of the war;

Hiroshima and Nagasaki

“Throughout July 1945 the Japanese mainlands, from the latitude of Tokyo on Honshu northward to the coast of Hokkaido, were bombed just as if an invasion was about to be launched. In fact, something far more sinister was in hand, as the Americans were telling Stalin at Potsdam.

“In 1939 physicists in the United States had learned of experiments in Germany demonstrating the possibility of nuclear fission and had understood that the potential energy might be released in an explosive weapon of unprecedented power. On Aug. 2, 1939, Albert Einstein had warned Roosevelt of the danger of Nazi Germany's forestalling other states in the development of an atomic bomb. Eventually, the U.S. Office of Scientific Research and Development was created in June 1941 and given joint responsibility with the war department in the Manhattan Project to develop a nuclear bomb. After four years of intensive and ever-mounting research and development efforts, an atomic device was set off on July 16, 1945, in a desert area near Alamogordo, N.M., generating an explosive power equivalent to that of more than 15,000 tons of TNT. Thus the atomic bomb was born. Truman, the new U.S. president, calculated that this monstrous weapon might be used to defeat Japan in a way less costly of U.S. lives than a conventional invasion of the Japanese homeland. Japan's unsatisfactory response to the Allies' Potsdam Declaration decided the matter... On Aug. 6, 1945, an atomic bomb carried from Tinian Island in the Marianas in a specially equipped B-29 was dropped on Hiroshima, at the southern end of Honshu: the combined heat and blast pulverized everything in the explosion's immediate vicinity, generated spontaneous fires that burned almost 4.4 square miles completely out, and killed between 70,000 and 80,000 people, besides injuring more than 70,000 others. A second bomb, dropped on Nagasaki on August 9, killed between 35,000 and 40,000 people, injured a like number, and devastated 1.8 square miles.”

....

Costs of the war

“The statistics on World War II casualties are inexact. Only for the United States and the British Commonwealth can official figures showing killed, wounded, prisoners or missing for the armed forces be cited with any degree of assurance. For most other nations, only estimates of varying reliability exist. Statistical accounting broke down in both Allied and Axis nations when whole armies were surrendered or dispersed. Guerrilla warfare, changes in international boundaries, and mass shifts in population vastly complicated postwar efforts to arrive at accurate figures even for the total dead from all causes.

“Civilian deaths from land battles, aerial bombardment, political and racial executions, war-induced disease and famine, and the sinking of ships probably exceeded battle casualties. These civilian deaths are even more difficult to determine, yet they must be counted in any comparative evaluation of national losses. There are no reliable figures for the casualties of the Soviet Union and China, the two countries in which casualties were undoubtedly greatest. Mainly for this reason, **estimates of total dead in**

World War II vary anywhere from 35,000,000 to 60,000,000—a statistical difference of no small import. Few have ventured even to try to calculate the total number of persons who were wounded or permanently disabled.”

“However inexact many of the figures, their main import is clear. The heaviest proportionate human losses occurred in eastern Europe where Poland lost perhaps 20 percent of its prewar population, Yugoslavia and the Soviet Union around 10 percent. German losses, of which the greater proportion occurred on the Eastern Front, were only slightly less severe. The nations of western Europe, however great their suffering from occupation, escaped with manpower losses that were hardly comparable with those of World War I. In East Asia, the victims of famine and pestilence in China are to be numbered in the millions, in addition to other millions of both soldiers and civilians who perished in battle and bombardment.

“There can be no real statistical measurement of the human and material cost of World War II. The money cost to governments involved has been estimated at more than \$1,000,000,000,000 but this figure cannot represent the human misery, deprivation, and suffering, the dislocation of peoples and of economic life, or the sheer physical destruction of property that the war involved.

“The Nazi overlords of occupied Europe drained their conquered territories of resources to feed the German war machine. Industry and agriculture in France, Belgium, The Netherlands, Denmark, and Norway were forced to produce to meet German needs with a resulting deprivation of their own peoples. Italy, though at first a German ally, fared no better. The resources of the occupied territories in eastern Europe were even more ruthlessly exploited. Millions of able-bodied men and women were drained away to perform forced labor in German factories and on German farms. The whole system of German economic exploitation was enforced by cruel and brutal methods, and the guerrilla resistance it aroused was destructive in itself and provoked German reprisals that were even more destructive, particularly in Poland, Yugoslavia, and the occupied portions of the Soviet Union.

“Great Britain, which escaped the ravages of occupation, suffered heavily from the German aerial blitz of 1940–41 and later from V-bombs and rockets. On the other

side, German cities were leveled by Allied bombers, and in the final invasion of Germany from both east and west there was much retaliatory devastation, destruction, and pillage.

“...In Great Britain about 30 percent of the homes were destroyed or damaged; in France, Belgium, and The Netherlands about 20 percent. Agriculture in all the occupied countries suffered heavily from the destruction of facilities and farm animals, the lack of machinery and fertilizers, and the drain on manpower. Internal transport systems were completely disrupted by the destruction or confiscation of rail cars, locomotives, and barges, and the bombing of bridges and key rail centers. By 1945 the economies of the continental nations of western Europe were in a state of virtually complete paralysis.

“...Millions throughout Europe were rendered homeless. There were an estimated 21,000,000 refugees, more than half of them ‘displaced persons’ who had been deported from their homelands to perform forced labor. Other millions who had remained at home were physically exhausted by five years of strain, suffering, and undernourishment. The roads of Europe were swamped by refugees all through 1945 and into 1946 as more than 5,000,000 Soviet prisoners of war and forced laborers returned eastward to their homeland and more than 8,000,000 Germans fled or were evacuated westward out of the Soviet-occupied portions of Germany. Millions of other persons of almost every European nationality also returned to their own countries or emigrated to new homes in other lands.

The Far East

“The devastation of World War II in China was inflicted on a country that was already suffering from the economic ills of overpopulation, underdevelopment, and a half-century of war, political disunity, and unrest. The territory occupied by Japanese forces was roughly equivalent to that occupied by the Axis in Europe and the period of occupation was longer. That area of China unoccupied by the Japanese was virtually cut off from the outside world after the Japanese conquest of Burma in early 1942, and its economy continually tottered on the brink of collapse. In both areas, famines, epidemics, and civil unrest were recurrent, much farmland was flooded, and millions of refugees fled their homes, some several times. Cities, towns, and villages were laid waste by aerial bombardment and marching armies. The transportation system, poor to begin with, was thoroughly disrupted. Most of the limited number of hospitals and health institutions in China were destroyed or lost.

“In India famine was recurrent, and the Indian economy was severely strained to support the burden the Allied military authorities placed upon it. The Philippines suffered from three years of Japanese occupation and exploitation and

from the destruction wrought in the reconquest of the islands by the Americans in 1944–45. The harbor at Manila was wrecked by the retreating Japanese, and many portions of the city were demolished by bombardment.

“In Japan the U.S. Strategic Bombing Survey found the damage to urban centers comparable to that in Germany. In the aggregate, 40 percent of the built-up areas of 66 Japanese cities were destroyed, and approximately 30 percent of the entire urban population of Japan lost their homes and many of their possessions. Hiroshima and Nagasaki suffered the peculiar and lasting damage done by atomic explosion and radiation.”

The largescale devastation of many nations through that war gave a new life to capitalism—it revived from the prospect of an otherwise certain death—for reconstruction gave it new room for continuing its nefarious activities through reconstruction.

The reconstruction was done by 1968 but several phenomena helped capitalism survive till date, and these phenomena are absolutely necessary for the elongation of the life of capitalism.

We must take help of Marx and Engels in explaining this phenomena of survival of capitalism till date.

A) “Marx... entirely trusted to the intellectual development of the working class, which was sure to result from combined action and mutual discussion. The very events and vicissitudes of the struggle against capital, *the defeats more than the victories, could not help bringing home to men’s minds the insufficiency of their various favorite nostrums*, and preparing the way for a more complete insight into the true condition of working-class emancipation.

“London 30th January, 1888” [Frederick Engels]

B) “The ‘dangerous class’, [*lumpenproletariat*] the social scum, that passively rotting mass thrown off by the lowest layers of the old society, may, here and there, be swept into the movement by a proletarian revolution; its conditions of life, however, prepare it far more for the part of a bribed tool of reactionary intrigue.”

C) “The working men have no country. We cannot take from them what they have not got. Since the proletariat must first of all acquire political supremacy, must rise to be the leading class of the nation, must constitute itself *the nation*, it is so far, itself national, though not in the bourgeois sense of the word.”

D) “National differences and antagonism between peoples are daily more and more vanishing, owing to the development of the bourgeoisie, to freedom of commerce, to the world market, to uniformity in the mode of production and in the conditions of life corresponding thereto.”

E) "The supremacy of the proletariat will cause them to vanish still faster. United action, of the leading civilized countries at least, is one of the first conditions for the emancipation of the proletariat."

F) "In proportion as the exploitation of one individual by another is put an end to, the exploitation of one nation by another will also be put an end to. In proportion as the antagonism between classes within the nation vanishes, the hostility of one nation to another will come to an end."

Marx had his faith in two things, viz., **"the intellectual development of the working class, which was sure to result from combined action and mutual discussion."** and "[Proletarian] United action, of the leading civilized countries at least, is one of the first conditions for the emancipation of the proletariat". He even harbored the hope that the revolution in USA and England could take place peacefully. It was impossible for every sane man in his time even to surmise the possibility that the general mass in these countries would be stupefied so pathetically, as the postmodernist and other sociologist inform everybody with a great euphoria. And nobody could have thought that societies and social life would disintegrate and deaden to such an extent that lumpenization would be carried out on mass scale and the "dangerous class, the social scum" become rampant and even adored in all classes. We now have lumpen-bourgeoisie [for example, Stalinists; Feminists; Bourgeoisie "(sinister) communist" Parties; Chinese Rulers; those that have intimate relations with mafia or those that wholeheartedly support religious fanaticism, fundamentalism and backward-most form of tribalism, feudalism, etc.], lumpen-feudalists [for example, Usama Bin Ladin and Co., Kings, Sultans, Army Generals, etc.], lumpen-middle-class [for example, bribed men; most of the policemen; many attorneys; too many judges; "human" or inhuman rights activists (or apologists for the bourgeoisie excesses whose sole job is to earn their bread and butter from the atrocities, torment, torture and other heinous crimes committed upon people particularly by some regimes not liked by the Messenger of mammon, US President; and whose religion is to recreate exasperated peoples' faith in the rotten jurisprudence of capitalism and worship the illusion that justice, human dignity and human rights are possible under capitalism); wild-life conservationists and directors that slaughter the wretched human beings broken-down by complete penury, i.e. poachers, and devastate their families thereby, in order to save some wild animal from his impending death for a few more days or months; intelligentsia; media-personnel; anarchist; socialists; etc.] lumpen-peasantry [for example, the ones that beat their chest and wail in the streets for the death of people's princess while not caring a hoot about the ever-prevalent wretchedness of their own intimate ones].

Socialism and communism are not meant for monkeys, chimps, wolves, lions, zebras, honeybees, ants, wasps, bedbugs, mosquitoes or any other species of other beasts. Socialism and communism are not meant for any uncivilized or barbarian or savage or primitive people or any society of idiots, imbeciles and scoundrels. They are meant for highly civilized people. The general lack of highly civilized people, propagation of mass idiocy, intensification of spiritual desertification, glorification of scoundrelization, confoundification of reason, and perversion of truth the world-over has contributed greatly in the survival of capitalism so far.

There also have been some technical reasons. And again we need the services of Marx and Engels:

G) "The bourgeoisie cannot exist without constantly revolutionizing the instruments of production, and thereby the relations of production, and with them the whole relations of society."

H) "No social order is ever destroyed before all the productive forces for which it is sufficient have been developed, and new superior relations of production never replace older ones before the material conditions for their existence have matured within the framework of the old society. Mankind thus inevitably sets itself only such tasks as it is able to solve, since closer examination will always show that the problem itself arises only when the material conditions for its solution are already present or at least in the course of formation."

"The bourgeoisie cannot exist without constantly revolutionizing the instruments of production" means, among other things, the life of bourgeoisie and its system depend upon new inventions, new technology and new products, especially the consumer products. Without new consumer products, bourgeoisie is certain to either die itself or again carry out immense manslaughter and destruction the world-over. After destruction, reconstruction gives some room for its survival for a little while more. If all the people that can afford to have houses to live in, own houses of their own, all the masons and carpenters of the world will become jobless. Capitalism was unfortunate to survive up to its current extreme old age, and rot for want of the mercy of death because one after another grand invention and new necessary mass products were brought into being. In the beginning of the previous century, we had the aviation industry come into being—and, consequently, millions of people got jobs in that industry. Then came the television, a new product for every household, and a new generation of workers were absorbed in that, once thriving industry, and now at its bare minimum—because very few people that can afford to have television in their homes have yet to buy it. Thereafter came cameras, computers, internet and mobile phones. Of these, computers, internet and mobile phones are articles of everybody's need—they were manufactured, and they have been sold. Very few people, that can afford it, have yet to have a computer or internet or phones or mobile phone.

Capitalism can still survive for a few more years without a wholesale worldwide massacre and devastation if it can create a new mass product of as much everybody's requirement as the mobile phone.

"No social order is ever destroyed before all the productive forces for which it is sufficient have been developed, and new superior relations of production never replace older ones before the material conditions for their existence have matured within the framework of the old society." has also to be understood in the same stream. Capitalism was sufficient for the productive forces of aviation, television, cameras, phones, mobile phones, etc. And computers, internet and means of communication, i.e. the material conditions very essential for establishment and operation of the global human society or socialism and communism, were, at the time of Bolshevik revolution, not already present but only in the course of formation. Paris Commune was certainly prematurely carried out, and Bolshevik revolution lacked these material conditions for its thriving.

The prospects ahead

As already explained, Recession, the omnipotent cannibal child of capitalism, is growing to its maturity and power. It will keep on growing. It won't die but it can go to sleep for sometime. And for its going to sleep, there has either to be the invention and quick production of a new mass product, as essential a commodity for everybody as the mobile phone, or a worldwide devastation and massacre. They are nowadays involved in reorienting the medicine manufacture and distribution industry, but that industry, instead of creating and absorbing a new stream of workers, will only drastically reduce the number of people already engaged in the highly overpopulated industry. Invention and manufacture of television, aircrafts, phones, mobile phones, etc., didn't take away any jobs, they only created new ones. Computer and internet eliminated some clerical and other jobs, but they created far more new jobs numerically.

The chance of the invention of a new mass product seems bleak.

A worldwide devastation and massacre is the more likely option for capitalism but its consequences are likely to be the summoning up of the speedy extinction of the human species itself, not to speak of other species and flora and fauna essential for keeping the intricate organization of various component organs of life on this planet functioning. The general mental condition of US soldiers fighting in Iraq and Afghanistan tells everything very clearly. Fighting for patriotism, security of one's society, bravery, honors, social recognition, Christian faith, Muslim faith, getting place in Heaven beside Christ or Muhammad, achieving immortality, uprooting tyranny, etc., hold no water now. "Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel or imbecile" has been clarified long ago. There no more is any honor for anybody fighting in a war or world war, nor is there any grandeur of social recognition to be found in slaughtering or getting oneself or one's kith and kin slaughtered for such phrases as "democracy", "human rights", "war of civilizations", "end to tyranny", "war against terrorism", etc. Verily "...Man is at last compelled to face with sober senses his real conditions of life, and his relations with his kind." It is very clearly understood by everybody except idiots, imbeciles, scoundrels, base men, etc., that all monkeys' bums are red in color and all capitalist or semi capitalist masters and their political slaves are alike to a great degree. There is much similarity between Bush and Obama and little difference. Had Obama become president eight years ago, he would be obliged to say and do what Bush said or did. If Bush were to be the president now, he would be obliged to behave as Obama does. The names of Mussolini and Berlusconi rhyme very well.

There is a noble, great and beautiful alternative as well, and, for that, we first of all need to send the world's enormous and murderous spiritual desert [including Pope Benedict's personal spiritual desert] to extinction.

We need to have a clear understanding of some preliminary things.

The question of ownership.

Who does the sky, stars, sun, moon, etc., belong to?

The answer to this question is clear. They belong to omnipotent, omnipresent, immortal and infinite Nature. [I have no objection if you call Nature the God provided you do not fragment people with the help of religion on the basis of tribal, feudal, capitalist or geographical grounds and your God is not biased and criminal in favor of some and against others; and you don't render your God into the petty slave of capitalists or feudalists.]

Then who does the negligible fraction of infinite Nature, Earth, belong to?

Here our landlords and masters become blue in the face. They can't bring themselves up to saying that the Earth and therefore all the land belongs to Nature. At best they may groaningly mumble, while the Earth belongs to nature, this particular piece of land belongs to me and me only, because my great grandfather killed fifteen people to possess this piece of land and he paid for it five gold coins he had stolen or acquired elsewhere... And Pope Benedict will agree to this Spiritual Desert: that land, as holy a thing as space, time, sky, stars, sun, moon, oceans, air... is a petty commodity that is worth purchase or sale through a few currency notes.

Who does God or Nature belong to?

Who does the air or water belong to?

Who do the oceans and rivers and mountains belong to?

If anybody says this river belongs to me and me only, he must be an idiot.

Now coming to the creations of humanity, who does any particular language belong to?

Who does knowledge or any particular branch of knowledge, e.g. engineering, belong to? Who do religions, philosophies, agriculture, science, technology, art, literature, etc., belong to?

Do they belong to all of us equally?

Don't they belong to the whole of humanity?

Land, mines, industries come to us in their present form because of the efforts of uncountable generations of humanity the world-over.

Then who do the products of nature, and creations of humanity belong to? Who do the products drawn from nature or drawn from the creations of humanity belong to?

“Capital is a collective product, and only by the united action of many members, nay, in the last resort, only by the united action of all members of society, can it be set in motion.

“Capital is therefore not only personal; it is a social power.

“When, therefore, capital is converted into common property, into the property of all members of society, personal property is not thereby transformed into social property. **It is only the social character of the property that is changed. It loses its class character.**”

Pope Benedict! Can you agree to this axiom? Can you lend your support in uprooting the main source of the ever-expanding horrible spiritual desert in the world?

"All property relations in the past have continually been subject to historical change consequent upon the change in historical conditions.

"The French Revolution, for example, abolished feudal property in favor of bourgeois property.

"The distinguishing feature of Communism is not the abolition of property generally, but the abolition of bourgeois property.

"Hard-won, self-acquired, self-earned property! Do you mean the property of petty artisan and of the small peasant, a form of property that preceded the bourgeois form? There is no need to abolish that; the development of industry has to a great extent already destroyed it, and is still destroying it daily.

"Or do you mean the modern bourgeois private property?"

"But does wage-labor create any property for the laborer? Not a bit. It creates capital, *i.e.*, that kind of property which exploits wage-labor, and which cannot increase except upon condition of begetting a new supply of wage-labor for fresh exploitation. Property, in its present form, is based on the antagonism of capital and wage labor. Let us examine both sides of this antagonism.

"To be a capitalist, is to have not only a purely personal, but a social *status* in production. Capital is a collective product, and only by the united action of many members, nay, in the last resort, only by the united action of all members of society, can it be set in motion.

"Capital is therefore not only personal; it is a social power.

"When, therefore, capital is converted into common property, into the property of all members of society, personal property is not thereby transformed into social property. **It is only the social character of the property that is changed. It loses its class character.**

"Do you charge us with wanting to stop the exploitation of children by their parents?"

"To this crime we plead guilty.

"But, you say, we destroy the most hallowed of relations, when we replace home education by social.

"And your education! Is not that also social, and determined by the social conditions under which you educate, by the intervention direct or indirect, of society, by means of schools, etc.? The Communists have not invented the intervention of society in education; they do but seek to alter the character of that intervention, and to rescue education from the influence of the ruling class.

"The bourgeois clap-trap about the family and education, about the hallowed co-relation of parents and child, becomes all the more disgusting, the more, by the action of Modern Industry, all the family ties among the proletarians are torn asunder, and their children transformed into simple articles of commerce and instruments of labor.

"But you Communists would introduce community of women, **screams the bourgeoisie in chorus.**

"The bourgeois sees his wife a mere instrument of production. He hears that the instruments of production are to be exploited in common, and, naturally, can come to no other conclusion that the lot of being common to all will likewise fall to the women.

"He has not even a suspicion that the real point aimed at is to do away with the status of women as mere instruments of production.

"For the rest, nothing is more ridiculous than the virtuous indignation of our bourgeois at the community of women which, **they pretend**, is to be openly and

officially established by the Communists. The Communists have no need to introduce community of women; it has existed almost from time immemorial.

“Our bourgeois, not content with having wives and daughters of their proletarians at their disposal, not to speak of common prostitutes, take the greatest pleasure in seducing each other’s wives.

“Bourgeois marriage is, in reality, a system of wives in common... **For the rest, it is self-evident that the abolition of the present system of production must bring with it the abolition of the community of women springing from that system, i.e., of prostitution both public and private.**

“The Communists are further reproached with desiring to abolish countries and nationality.

“The working men have no country.” And don’t be frightened my dear brothers, sisters, sons, daughters, friends, nationalists, intellectuals, army personnel, and so forth that are constantly brawling at one another and laying your lives for your masters privileges and benefits, nationalities, national freedoms, further fragmentation of the world and humanity to thereby render yourselves into petty minorities, unsafe, defenseless and miserable.... What is the nationality of God, Moses, Valmiki, Ved Vyas, Buddha, Confucius, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, Christ, Marx, Engels, Lenin and so forth? What is the nationality and religion of sky, stars, sun, moon, earth, oceans, air, water, rain, science, technology, tools, computers, medicines, etc.?...

“The working men have no country. We cannot take from them what they have not got. Since the proletariat must first of all acquire political supremacy, must rise to be the leading class of the nation, must constitute itself *the* nation, it is so far, itself national, though not in the bourgeois sense of the word.

“National differences and antagonism between peoples are daily more and more vanishing, owing to the development of the bourgeoisie, to freedom of commerce, to the world market, to uniformity in the mode of production and in the conditions of life corresponding thereto.

“The supremacy of the proletariat will cause them to vanish still faster. United action, of the leading civilized countries at least, is one of the first conditions for the emancipation of the proletariat.

“In proportion as the exploitation of one individual by another is put an end to, the exploitation of one nation by another will also be put an end to. In proportion as the antagonism between classes within the nation vanishes, the hostility of one nation to another will come to an end.”

Ownership

His nose, his ears, his eyes...
His body, his head, his heart...
All belonged to him,
And they did die with him.

They belonged to him,
And they did die with him.

His exploits, his estates, his industries,
His monsters, his pimps, his profits...
They too belonged to him,
But they didn't die with him.

Oh why didn't they die with him
When they *actually belonged to him?*

We were his slaves and mercenaries.
Under his behests and dictates
We ran industries and toiled in the fields,
We spent our minds to defend his privileges,
We laid our lives for his pleasures...

He provided us our living,
Our children and our wives
Adored him by their heart;
Our hearts and souls were ever grateful to him...

But we didn't die with him.
Oh why didn't we die with him,
When *we truly belonged to him!?*

My friend! Lie not for the fear of a fiend!
Don't duped stand due falsehood prevalent!
What belonged to him did duly die with him,
And what wasn't his could never die with him.

Hence the dignity of humanity and the spirit of true life
Demand your duty to establish true life.

Nature and time are infinite. In the boundlessness of nature and time, the tasks of humanity—if the species has any claim to intelligence and reason—too are naturally unlimited. The Recession, the monster child of capitalism, renders a vast number of capable and healthy people redundant for life, through thoroughly artificial phenomena like worklessness, wars, wretchedness, ignorance, malnutrition, desperation, insanity, etc. To comprehend that it really is so, here is a drop from the ocean of life:

The Plan of a Citty

[From the author's work "Liberating the Future from the Past", written in 1998]

A new world has to be born. And the new world has to be organized on a new foundation.

Our world is hungry for so much useful work that four billion adults of this world are insufficient for the calling.

Basic necessities food, clothes, medicine, etc., are produced sufficiently by the world at present. But:

Well-organized educational institutions and efficient teachers are lacking everywhere.

Doctors and hospitals and necessary medical equipments are lacking.

Scientists are far less in number than required.

Fifty percent of the people are either houseless or living in the hovels...

We need useful experts, architects, engineers, working people, social economists and skilled workers in tremendous numbers to organize and construct the humanity on human foundations.

Neither God nor any Bishop will perform these tasks for the humanity.

Then, the world needs to be afforested.

The untamed rivers need to be tamed.

Underground water resources are getting exhausted. They need to be restored.

A huge action by innumerable working hands is sorely awaited.

And so forth.

These are the immediate things to be dealt with—but private ownership of land and industries will allow you do nothing.

Your cities are grand scale lunatic asylums with patients crowded up together in millions and none knowing his name or address. Neither does anyone of you have any personal identity or importance to life or importance in life. And you think you are doing favors to your progeny!

Then, going beyond capitalism means changing the mad and all chaotic life in the cities, and upturning the ages-old backwardness of villages. It means organizing the life on a new pattern—it means the construction of new well-planned, small-scale cities (*citities*) by uniting two or three or more villages together, with a hospital, a university, an airport, a railway station and every other necessary facility for every individual. It means equitable distribution of population on the land with no *city* crossing a rationally decided limit, say one hundred thousands humans, in terms of population. It means Education is so well organized that your children, grandchildren and so forth will walk to their schools and colleges as free persons, instead of being transported there in coops as the chickens of any poultry farms. You blame your children for all their lapses; you also blame them for your own crimes. "We are doing it all for you!" you often rebuke them and oppress them by your claims of generosity to them. But, in fact, you are all criminals. You are blind men. You fail to see how you have crippled your children and restricted all their lovely childhood freedom. Your schools are just like the poultry farms and animal farms—and worst of all, you have invented your computer-games by dint of which they may lose their eyes before they reach sixty—in any case their mental development is terribly hampered, and their natural physical growth, and dexterity, and capability to make their bodies stout is lost. They have no freedom to play outdoor. They have no place to freely move and run about in. Your ignorance, disconcern with the social reality, apathy to higher life, lack of confidence in yourselves, rotten-petty selfishness, and timidity have amputated their lives' vital wings and rendered them similar to the frightened rats (your daughters in particular so). They are barred from friendship. A cosmos of

uncertainty, insecurity, dread and tensions looms incessantly over you all. Yet you pride in your capitalism!

In the big cities, which you take a mighty vainglory about, your child has no security. He is nobody. He has no identity because nobody knows him. He is already lost for life. He is important to none, not even to his teachers. How do you suppose he can develop into a human being: When no human beings know him? When he is denied the security and human society from birth itself?

Going beyond Capitalism means assigning a certain quality and quantity of production (agricultural or industrial) to each *city* as their contribution to the world economy—for which they will receive returns in those things which they themselves cannot produce.

There is infinite work to be done by infinite number of people in the infinite cosmos. Thanks to Science and Technology the ship of humanity is very near the shore now, though still terribly unsafe inside the terrible hurricane of Capitalism. Will the ship perish before reaching the shore, or will it reach to the harbor—wherefrom it can receive its relief, claim its hope, announce its victory, and proclaim its mission? Nobody knows the answers.

If this mission is proclaimed, one hundred thousand odd new cities will have to be constructed and drawing the designs for the one hundred thousand odd cities of the new world is the work of one hundred thousand teams of planners, architects, social economists, engineers, philosophers, doctors and educationists. Here we can at best have the assessment of the situation and the general outline.

We have:

Diameter of the Earth at the Equator	= 12756 Kms.
Diameter of the Earth at the Poles	= 12712 Kms.
Mean Diameter	= 12734 Kms.
Mean Radius "R"	= 6367 Kms.
Surface Area	= $4\pi R^2 = 4 \times 22/7 \times 6367 \times 6367$ = 509629233 Sq. Kms.
Land Area	= 30% of the Surface Area = 30% of $4\pi R^2$ = $30/100 \times 509629233$ = 152888770 Sq. Kms.
Inhabitable Land Area	= Land Area–Area of the Deserts, etc. = $2/3$ of Land Area = $2/3$ of [30% of $4\pi R^2$] = $2/3 \times 152888770$ Sq. Kms = 101902825 Sq. Kms.
Assume total population of the World	= 10 Billion
Assume total number of the Proposed Cities	= 100000
Assume Population per City	= 100000
Average Inhabitable Land Area per City	= 1019.02825 Sq. Kms.
i) Average Mining Area per City	= 4.02825 Sq. Kms.
Average Area per City remaining	= 1015 Sq. Kms. = 1015×10^6 sq. meters
Per capita land available	= 10150 sq. meters
(ii) Per capita land for miscellaneous works	= 150 sq. meters
Per capita land left	= 10000 sq. meters
Land available per City [after the generous donations of (i) and (ii) above]	= 10000×100000 sq. meters = 10^9 sq. mtrs.

We have thus seen that the world isn't over-crowded yet. The Earth can sustain at least double the population we presently have. But Capitalism has created the Cities of Ghosts by huddling together millions of people into as little space as is actually impossible to live in; considering the demands of nature and life. After crowding people together in such a lunatic-pathetic way, one would think the jungles must be chaste and wildlife flourishing under Capitalism. The facts are stubbornly negative, however.

An incessant deafening noise twenty-four hours every day; large, monstrous, terrifying buildings which stand humiliating every individual human being's pettiness of size as a Gulliver in the land of Brobdingnag; broad and unending ever-busy roads filled with all filth; long queues of electric poles showering their needles of neurotic light; tremendously frightening ply-overs; metros... uncountable vehicles of every imaginable/unimaginable size and stature rushing recklessly in every direction till ordered by the Omnipotent Phantom governing the movement of life, THE RED LIGHT, to "Stop even if you are the most reluctant to!"; a hurricane of respiteless people desperately busy over trifles; complete estrangement of everyone with the fabric of life; alienation, rowdiness and frustration; arrogance, depression and senselessness; violence, helplessness and crimes; crimes, higher crimes, and more crimes.... utter collapse of the faculty of thinking and complete misery of everybody; market of every shameful/shameless commodity...: **This is what your every City at present is, and which you take such a vain-glory about.**

Everything any sane or insane man can fancy about, after or before getting himself boozed, is available in every city of yours—of course except peace, wilderness, freedom for body and mind, fresh air, fearlessness, undisturbed-healthy sleep, life and other vital things.

In short your current Cities are the biggest uncared about Lunatic Asylums—where Charlatans and Religions serve as the half-mad psychiatrists.

What adds charm to the everlasting Realm of Omnipotent Stupidity is the fact that millions of "well-educated" and ill-educated ladies and gentlemen spend their hard-earned coins to pay visits and homage, as tourists, to this Hell that is your present City.

To resume the plan of our Citty. We have all the land of the world as the common property of all humankind, instead of its ownership by the landlords and capitalists.

We have more than a thousand square kilometers available for our Citty meant ideally for a population of one hundred thousand people. Let us go to the centre of the Citty and construct a grand stadium for our top sporting events there. Aside the stadium, let us construct an auditorium-cum-theatre for our accomplished artists and their audience. Surrounding the two, we have the Hospital, which comes under the aegis of the University of our Citty. Surrounding, we have the Colleges and the Schools. We have a Technical College too. Surrounding this, the Heart of our Citty, we have the family-houses, which in turn are enveloped by the first phase of the agricultural land. Beyond this land are the houses for the young couples, which in turn are surrounded by another phase of the agricultural land. Further beyond, we have the houses for the singles, all enveloped by the jungles.

At the fringe of the Citty, in an inner corner of the jungle, there is the Industrial Area, Railway Station, Bus Station and the Taxi Stand. At another such corner we have the Airport. The sewage of the Citty drains deep into the jungle, where it is processed. At yet another distant place inside the jungle are two reservoirs of water—one supplying the drinking water, another for toilet use. Irrigation of the jungle as well as the whole land is well managed.

Let us allocate land for each of these sub-projects.

Land for the Central Stadium [150 meters radius] = πr^2 = 70715 sq. mts

- (a) Land for the Central Auditorium-cum-Theatre = 79289 sq. mts
- (b) Land for the Hospital = 150000 sq. mts
- (c) Land for the University = 300000 sq. mts
- (d) Land for the Colleges = 1000000 sq. mts
- (e) Land for the Schools = 2000000 sq. mts
- (f) Land for the Technical Colleges = 1000000 sq. mts

We can assume the population-structure of the City as follows.

Out of one hundred thousand people: (i) twenty thousand can be assumed to be old; (ii) twenty thousand the young living in couples; (iii) twenty thousand singles; and (iv) forty thousand living in families each comprising a couple and their two children.

From (iv) above, 40000 persons make 10000 families.

Land required for their housing + kitchen-garden = 30 mts x 30 mtrs per family
= 9000000 sq. meters

From (iii) above, two-storey houses required = 10000

Land required for these houses + kitchen garden = 30 x 30 sq. mtrs per house
= 9000000 sq. meters

For (ii) above, number of houses required = 10000

Land required = 9000000 sq. mts

For (i) above, number of old people = 20000

Land required for their housing, flower-gardens, etc. = 9000000 sq. mts

Land for roads and streets = 6000000 sq. mts

Land for agriculture = 75000000 sq. mts

Land for Industries = 32000000 sq. mts

Land for the Airport, Bus-Station, Railway Station, etc.
= 39899996 sq. mts (say)
= about forty sq. kilometers;

which area is clearly too large for the purposes

Land for the playing-fields = 6500000 sq. mts

Land for the pastures = 100000000 sq. mts

Land for the Forest = more than 7×10^8 sq. mts
= 700 sq. kilometers

Total Area assigned for the forests the world-over = 7×10^7 sq. kilometers

Besides this, we have left one third of the total Land Area as deserts—though the deserts can be, and will be, changed into the jungles by the use of the modern scientific-technological know-how. We have also left a good area for mining and other miscellaneous works.

....

Tell me, is there any justification for unemployment?

Is there any justification for the patent-rights on Science and Technology?

Is there any justification for poverty and illiteracy?

Is there any justification for the continuance of the system of frustrations, wretchedness, selfishness, hostility, terrorism, money and wars?

Is there any justification for madness and other mental diseases that this world is permeated with through and through?

Hence Our Immediate Aim Ought To Be:

*100% free education and free living for all below twenty years;
100% employment for all above 26 years of age with six hours working-day.
100% employment for all above 20 years of age with three hours working-day
till the age of twenty-six is attained.
100% housing for every individual.
100% free health care.*